Experimental Liquid—Liquid Equilibria of 1-Alkyl-3-methylimidazolium Hexafluorophosphate with 1-Alcohols

Ana B. Pereiro and Ana Rodríguez*

Chemical Engineering Department, Vigo University, 36210, Vigo, Spain

Liquid–liquid equilibria were measured for nine binary systems containing 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphates with alcohols in the following temperature ranges: BMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol or 1-butanol or 1-pentanol and HMIM PF₆ with 1-butanol or 1-pentanol from (278.15 to 343.15) K; HMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol from (278.15 to 328.15) K; and OMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol or 1-butanol or 1-pentanol from (278.15 to 323.15) K, (278.15 to 323.15) K, and (278.15 to 333.15) K, respectively. The equation results were satisfactorily correlated by the NRTL and UNIQUAC models.

Introduction

In the last years, ionic liquids (ILs) have had increasing attention as possible replacement solvents for volatile organic compounds and the development of a sustainable green chemistry. Liquid-liquid equilibria data are essential for a proper understanding of extraction processes. The analysis of the composition of the two phases in equilibrium supplies considerable information about mass balance and mass transfer calculations in the design and optimization of separation processes. In this paper, we have chosen the most extensively investigated cation in ILs, that is, 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium and hexafluorophosphate (PF_6^-) as the anion. The interest of these ILs based on the PF₆⁻ anion has weakened over the past few years due to the concerns that they can degrade in the presence of water¹ and at high temperatures² leading to the formation of HF. Consequently, the application of the hexafluorophosphate ILs is restricted to those applications under water-free conditions and moderate temperatures.

In this paper, liquid-liquid equilibria (LLE) of several ILs with an increasing alkyl chain of the cation (C_n MIM from n =4 to 6 to 8) with three different alkanols were carried out. The binary liquid-liquid equilibria have been measured from (278.15 to 343.15) K for BMIM PF₆ (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate) with 1-propanol or 1-butanol or 1-pentanol and HMIM PF₆ (1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate) with 1-butanol or 1-pentanol; from (278.15 to 328.15) K for HMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol; and from (278.15 to 307.15) K, (278.15 to 323.15) K, and (278.15 to 333.15) K for OMIM PF₆ (1-methyl-3-octylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate) with 1-propanol or 1-butanol or 1-pentanol, respectively. This work is a continuation of our research³⁻⁶ in the knowledge of the separation processes of these new solvents with different organic chemicals. Likewise, the obtained LLE data were correlated by applying the NRTL⁷ and UNIQUAC⁸ equations.

Experimental Section

Chemicals. The chemicals were supplied by Sigma Aldrich for 1-propanol (99.9 % mass fraction), by Merck for 1-butanol

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: + 34 986 812312. Fax: + 34 986 812380. E-mail: aroguez@uvigo.es.



Figure 1. Schematic structure of RMIM PF_6 where R is the alkane alkyl chain.

Table 1. Comparison of Density ρ and Refractive Index n_D with Literature Data for Pure Components at 298.15 K

	ho/ m g	·cm ⁻³		n _D	
component	exptl	lit.	exptl	lit.	
1-propanol	0.7996	0.79962 ^a	1.38305	1.3830 ^a	
1-butanol	0.8056	0.80576^{a}	1.39726	1.3973 ^a	
1-pentanol	0.8108	0.81083 ^a	1.40797	1.4080^{a}	
BMIM PF ₆	1.3673	1.36745^{b}	1.40937	1.40925^{g}	
		1.36612^{c}			
HMIM PF ₆	1.2937	1.2935^{d}	1.41787	na ⁱ	
OMIM PF ₆	1.2357	1.23684 ^e	1.42302	1.423^{h}	
		1.2245^{f}			

 a Ref 9. b Ref 10. c Ref 11. d Ref 12. e Ref 13. f Ref 14. g Ref 15. h Ref 16. i na (not available).

(99.8 % mass fraction), and by Sigma Aldrich for 1-pentanol (99.0 % mass fraction). Chromatographic tests of the solvents showed purities that fulfilled purchaser specifications. Immediately prior to their use, the alcohols were degassed ultrasonically, dried over freshly activated molecular sieves (type 4 Å, supplied by Aldrich) for several weeks, and kept in an inert argon atmosphere as soon as the bottles were opened. The ILs were supplied by Green Solutions Chemicals S. L. with a certified purity higher than 98 % mass fraction. To reduce the water content to negligible values (mass fraction lower than 0.03 %, determined using a 756 Karl Fisher coulometer), a vacuum ($2 \cdot 10^{-1}$ Pa) was applied to the ILs for several days, always before their use, and submitted to NMR and positive FABMS (FISONS VG AUTOSPEC mass spectrometer) to ensure their purity. The ILs were kept in bottles under an inert gas. Figure 1 shows the RMIM PF₆ structure, where R is the alkyl chain of butyl, hexyl, and octyl, respectively. The density and the refractive index of the chemicals together with recent literature^{9–16} values are presented in Table 1.

Experimental Procedure. A calibration curve was made by measuring the density of the samples with known composition. The samples were prepared by filling glass vials with the IL

Table 2. Experimental Liquid–Liquid Equilibria for the Binary Mixtures C_nMIM PF₆ (1) + 1-Alcohols (2)

	r,	Y1	T	r ₁	r,					
	$\frac{\lambda_1}{(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n}$	$\frac{\Lambda_1}{(\Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_2)}$		$\frac{\lambda_1}{(\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n} \mathbf{n})}$	$\frac{\lambda_1}{(\Pi - \mu_1 c^1 - 1 - 1 - 1)}$					
K	(IL - poor pnase)	(IL - rich phase)	K	(IL - poor pnase)	(IL - rich phase)					
BMIM $PF_6(1) + 1$ -Propanol (2)										
278.15	0.0004	0.7864	313.15	0.0015	0.6033					
283.15	0.0005	0.7667	318.15	0.0018	0.5760					
288.15	0.0006	0.7437	323.15	0.0024	0.5497					
293.15	0.0007	0.7198	328.15	0.0032	0.5303					
298.15	0.0008	0.6946	333.15	0.0049	0.5085					
303.15	0.0010	0.6664	338.15	0.0090	0.4842					
308.15	0.0012	0.6380	343.15	0.0101	0.4706					
		BMIM $PF_6(1)$	+ 1-Butanol (2)							
278.15	0.0003	0.8730	313.15	0.0010	0.7597					
283.15	0.0004	0.8610	318.15	0.0013	0.7387					
288.15	0.0004	0.8465	323.15	0.0016	0.7164					
293.15	0.0005	0.8299	328.15	0.0020	0.7069					
298.15	0.0007	0.8124	333.15	0.0025	0.6980					
303.15	0.0008	0.7932	338.15	0.0032	0.6893					
308.15	0.0009	0.7718	343.15	0.0040	0.6785					
BMIM $PF_6(1) + 1$ -Pentanol (2)										
278.15	0.0007	0.9193	313.15	0.0013	0.8379					
283.15	0.0007	0.9157	318.15	0.0015	0.8212					
288.15	0.0007	0.9066	323.15	0.0018	0.8044					
293.15	0.0007	0.8960	328.15	0.0021	0.7872					
298.15	0.0008	0.8832	333.15	0.0025	0.7695					
303.15	0.0009	0.8714	338.15	0.0030	0.7584					
308.15	0.0010	0.8568	343.15	0.0035	0.7470					
		HMIM $PE_{c}(1)$ -	± 1 -Propanol (2)							
278 15	0.0018	0.6973	308 15	0.0078	0 5072					
283.15	0.0022	0.6674	313.15	0.0106	0.4646					
288.15	0.0022	0.6365	318.15	0.0175	0.4346					
293.15	0.0035	0.6023	323.15	0.0482	0.4120					
298.15	0.0044	0.5691	328.15	0.0785	0.3819					
303.15	0.0057	0.5331								
		LINING DE (1)	1 Dectar al (2)							
279 15	0.0000	HMIM $PF_6(1)$	+ 1-Butanol (2)	0.0042	0.5964					
270.15	0.0009	0.7091	219.15	0.0043	0.5576					
203.13	0.0012	0.7301	310.15	0.0050	0.5370					
203.15	0.0015	0.6001	328.15	0.0073	0.5017					
293.15	0.0018	0.6706	333 15	0.0169	0.4824					
303.15	0.0021	0.6401	338.15	0.0109	0.4581					
308.15	0.0020	0.6128	343 15	0.0273	0.4298					
500.15	0.0001		1 D . 10	0.0107	0.1290					
279.15	0.0010	HMIM $PF_6(1)$	+ 1-Pentanol (2)	0.0010	0.0002					
2/8.15	0.0010	0.8402	313.13 219.15	0.0019	0.6963					
285.15	0.0010	0.8201	318.15	0.0026	0.6779					
200.15	0.0010	0.8150	323.13	0.0029	0.6335					
293.13	0.0010	0.7931	222.15	0.0030	0.0320					
296.15	0.0013	0.7708	229.15	0.0040	0.5079					
308.15	0.0014	0.7474	3/3 15	0.0091	0.5654					
506.15	0.0010	0.7233	545.15	0.0091	0.5054					
		OMIM $PF_6(1)$ -	+ 1-Propanol (2)							
278.15	0.0024	0.4646	298.15	0.0088	0.2910					
283.15	0.0030	0.4278	303.15	0.0151	0.2335					
288.15	0.0040	0.3870	305.15	0.0171	0.2026					
293.15	0.0058	0.3422	307.15	0.0269	0.1566					
		OMIM $PF_6(1)$	+ 1-Butanol (2)							
278.15	0.0013	0.6184	303.15	0.0040	0.4395					
283.15	0.0014	0.5903	308.15	0.0055	0.3963					
288.15	0.0018	0.5549	313.15	0.0082	0.3390					
293.15	0.0022	0.5202	318.15	0.0138	0.2924					
298.15	0.0030	0.4820	323.15	0.0284	0.2341					
		OMIM $PF_{\epsilon}(1)$ -	+ 1-Pentanol (2)							
278.15	0.0004	0.7551	308.15	0.0027	0.5370					
283.15	0.0006	0.7158	313.15	0.0034	0.5001					
288.15	0.0008	0.6787	318.15	0.0048	0.4523					
293.15	0.0012	0.6384	323.15	0.0068	0.4175					
298.15	0.0016	0.6068	328.15	0.0106	0.3807					
303.15	0.0022	0.5730	333.15	0.0290	0.3482					

and the organic compound. Vials are closed with screw caps to ensure a secure seal and to prevent humidity. The sample was taken from the vial with a syringe through a silicone septum and was immediately put into the apparatus. The mass of the chemicals was determined using a Mettler AX-205 Delta Range balance with a precision of $\pm 10^{-5}$ g. The estimated uncertainty of the composition of the mixtures was $\pm 10^{-4}$ in mole fraction.

The density of the pure liquids and the binary mixtures was measured with an Anton Paar DSA-48 digital vibrating tube densimeter. The uncertainty in the measurement of the samples



Figure 2. Liquid—liquid phase diagram vs mole fraction of BMIM $PF_6(1) + 1$ -alcohols (2): \bigcirc , 1-propanol; \square , 1-butanol; \triangle , 1-pentanol. Experimental tie-lines and correlated NRTL (solid line) and UNIQUAC (dashed line) curves.



Figure 3. Liquid-liquid phase diagram vs mole fraction of HMIM PF_6 (1) + 1-alcohols (2): \bigcirc , 1-propanol; \square , 1-butanol; \triangle , 1-pentanol. Experimental tie-lines and correlated NRTL (solid line) and UNIQUAC (dashed line) curves.



Figure 4. Liquid—liquid phase diagram vs mole fraction of OMIM PF_6 (1) + 1-alcohols (2): \bigcirc , 1-propanol; \square , 1-butanol; \triangle , 1-pentanol. Experimental tie-lines and correlated NRTL (solid line) and UNIQUAC (dashed line) curves.

is $\pm 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ g·cm⁻³. The apparatus was calibrated by measuring the density of Millipore quality water and ambient air according to instructions. To determine the composition of the coexisting phase, the density of the samples was determined at 343.15 K.

Tie-line data determinations were performed in a jacketed glass vessel containing a magnetic stirrer connected to a temperature-controlled circulating bath (controlled to \pm 0.01



Figure 5. Liquid—liquid phase diagram vs mole fraction of BMIM PF₆ (1) + 1-propanol (2): \bigcirc , this work; $\textcircled{\bullet}$, cloud-point measurement, Sahandzhieva et al.;¹⁷ \square , UV measurement, Sahandzhieva et al.;¹⁷ \triangle , Marsh et al.¹⁸



Figure 6. Liquid—liquid phase diagram vs mole fraction of BMIM PF₆ (1) + 1-butanol (2): \bigcirc , this work; ●, cloud-point measurement, Sahandzhieva et al.;¹⁷ \square , UV measurement, Sahandzhieva et al.;¹⁷ \triangle , Wu et al.¹⁹



Figure 7. Liquid-liquid phase diagram vs mole fraction of HMIM PF_6 (1) + 1-propanol (2): \Box , this work; \blacksquare , Marsh et al.¹⁸ HMIM PF_6 (1) + 1-butanol (2): \bigcirc , this work; \blacksquare , Wu et al.¹⁹

K). The vessel was closed to moisture and was flushed with dry argon. The temperature was measured with a Pt-100 resistance temperature probe, which was introduced in the mixture and connected to a F200 ASL digital thermometer with an accuracy of \pm 0.01 K. The uncertainty of the whole temperature measurements in the systems was estimated to be \pm 0.05 K. The measurements were started with the addition of 100 mL of an immiscible binary mixture of known composition; the temperature was adjusted; and the mixture was stirred vigorously during 1 h and left to settle for 3 h. Samples were



Figure 8. Liquid-liquid phase diagram vs mole fraction of OMIM PF₆ (1) + 1-propanol (2): \Box , this work; \blacksquare , Marsh et al.¹⁸ OMIM PF₆ (1) + 1-butanol (2): \bigcirc , this work; \blacksquare , Wu et al.¹⁹

taken by a syringe from the upper and lower layers. A series of binary LLE measurements were made at different temperatures. Phase compositions were determined measuring the density of the samples. The uncertainty of the phase composition is estimated to be \pm 0.0005 in mole fraction. The LLE for the binary systems were determined until 343.15 K to prevent hydrolysis.

Results and Discussion

LLE for the binary mixtures were determined experimentally from (278.15 to 343.15) K for BMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol or 1-butanol or 1-pentanol and HMIM PF₆ with 1-butanol or 1-pentanol; from (278.15 to 328.15) K for HMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol; and from (278.15 to 307.15) K, (278.15 to 323.15) K, and (278.15 to 333.15) K for OMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol or 1-butanol or 1-pentanol, respectively. The results are shown in Table 2. Experimental phase diagrams of LLE investigated are shown in Figures 2 to 4. A comparison with the literature^{17–19} data was made in Figures 5 to 8. In general terms, the literature LLE data and this work agree with the studied binary systems.

Liquid-Liquid Equilibria Correlation. In this work, the NRTL and UNIQUAC equations with temperature-dependent interaction parameters are used to correlate the experimental binary LLE data. For the NRTL model, the third randomness parameter α was optimized, and their values are reported in

Table 3. The volume R_k and surface area Q_k parameters of the UNIQUAC equation were obtained from the literature.²⁰

In these equations, the adjustable parameter was defined as a linear temperature dependence, described as follows for NRTL and UNIQUAC, respectively

$$\Delta g_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}T/K \text{ and } \Delta u_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}T/K$$
(1)

The SOLVER function in Microsoft EXCEL was used to adjust the parameters so that the objective function was minimized, providing the set of temperature-dependent adjustable parameters for the binary systems. The objective function (OF) is defined as follows

$$OF = \sum_{i=1}^{2} (x_i^{l, exptl} - x_i^{l, calcd})^2$$
(2)

where x is the mole fraction and the subscripts i and l provide a designation for the component and the phase, respectively.

The fitting parameters of these equations are presented in Table 3. The standard deviation of the mole fraction and the temperature dependence of the correlative parameters are listed in Table 3. These deviations were calculated applying the following expression for the binary mixtures

$$\sigma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\frac{x_i^{l,\text{expl}} - x_i^{l,\text{calcd}}}{x_i^{l,\text{expll}}} \right)^2 \right)^{0.5}$$
(3)

where x is the mole fraction and the subscripts i and l provide a designation for the component and the phase, respectively.

A view of the standard deviation between the experimental data and those obtained from their correlation by the NRTL and UNIQUAC equations indicates a good fit. In general terms, the worst correlation results were obtained for BMIM PF₆ with the alcohols, probably because the variation of the BMIM PF₆ composition in the IL rich phase is slighter than in the other ILs where the difference in size of the components is higher.

Conclusions

LLE of the binary mixtures involving C_nMIM PF₆ with 1-propanol or 1-butanol or 1-pentanol were determined experimentally at several temperatures and from (278.15 to 343.15)

Table 3. Fitting Parameters and Root Mean Square Deviation of the LLE Data

-									
a_{12}	a_{21}	<i>b</i> ₁₂	<i>b</i> ₂₁						
$\overline{J \cdot mol^{-1}}$	$J \cdot mol^{-1}$	$\overline{\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{mol}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{mol}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1}}$	α	σ				
NRTL									
24999	20999	-74.60	-20.09	0.32	0.557				
24999	20999	-62.00	-20.56	0.36	1.443				
24999	20999	-55.02	-23.57	0.39	2.498				
24999	20999	-77.31	-34.81	0.37	1.437				
24999	20999	-73.40	-29.53	0.36	1.197				
24999	20999	-68.56	-25.05	0.36	0.850				
24999	20999	-91.60	-29.61	0.31	0.763				
24999	20999	-85.75	-28.16	0.31	1.507				
24999	20999	-83.72	-21.84	0.27	1.323				
UNIQUAC									
-1651.0	-1851.1	18.92	8.684		2.185				
-1448.6	-1512.9	17.22	7.728		2.532				
-1651.0	-1851.1	18.35	8.327		2.025				
-1526.0	-1691.1	14.92	11.01		1.573				
-1408.5	-1430.0	17.02	8.317		1.378				
-1651.0	-1851.1	17.30	8.624		2.334				
-1525.9	-1691.0	20.75	8.699		0.463				
-1536.7	-1686.7	21.56	7.950		1.063				
-1583.0	-1615.2	21.56	7.496		1.254				
	$\begin{array}{r} \hline a_{12} \\ \hline \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{mol}^{-1} \\ \hline \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ 24999 \\ -1651.0 \\ -1448.6 \\ -1651.0 \\ -1526.0 \\ -1408.5 \\ -1651.0 \\ -1525.9 \\ -1536.7 \\ -1583.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline a_{12} & a_{21} \\ \hline \hline \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{mol}^{-1} & \hline \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{mol}^{-1} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ 24999 & 20999 \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				

K for BMIM PF₆ with the three alcohols. HMIM PF₆ with 1-butanol or 1-pentanol and the rest of the binary LLE were measured until the miscibility point. The immiscibility area of the binary systems decreases with an increase in the IL alkyl chain length. Taking into account this effect, it is possible to consider that greater interactions with the alcohols were observed for OMIM PF₆ than for BMIM PF₆. This fact is due to the interactions between the nitrogen of the imidazole ring and the oxygen of the alcohol group. The interactions are greater for *n* = 8 because the free electronic pair of the nitrogen is able to form interactions with solvents when the alkyl chain of the ring is long.

In this study, it is observed that the upper critical solution temperature (UCST) increases as the alcohol alkyl chain is increased. The same behavior is observed for the different ILs; that is, the UCST increases regularly if the alkyl chain on the imidazolium ring is increased, as was observed in recent papers.^{21,22}

Literature Cited

- Swatloski, R. P.; Rogers, J. D.; Rogers, R. D. Ionic liquids are not always green: hydrolysis of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate. *Green Chem.* 2003, *5*, 361–363.
- (2) Najdanovic-Visak, V.; Esperanca, J. M. S. S.; Rebelo, L. P. N.; Nunes da Ponte, M.; Guedes, H. J. R.; Seddon, K. R.; Szydlowski, J. Phase behaviour of room temperature ionic liquid solutions: an unusually large co-solvent effect in (water + ethanol). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2002, 4, 1701–1703.
- (3) Pereiro, A. B.; Tojo, E.; Rodríguez, A.; Canosa, J.; Tojo, J. Properties of ionic liquid HMIMPF₆ with carbonates, ketones and alkyl acetales. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2006**, *38*, 651–661.
- (4) Pereiro, A. B.; Santamarta, F.; Tojo, E.; Rodríguez, A.; Tojo, J. Temperature Dependence of Physical Properties of Ionic Liquid 1,3-Dimethylimidazolium Methyl Sulfate. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2006, 51, 952–954.
- (5) Pereiro, A. B.; Tojo, E.; Rodríguez, A.; Canosa, J.; Tojo, J. HMImPF₆ ionic liquid that separates the azeotropic mixture ethanol + heptane. *Green Chem.* **2006**, *8*, 307–310.
- (6) Pereiro, A. B.; Canosa, J.; Rodríguez, A. Liquid-liquid equilibria of 1,3-dimethylimidazolium methyl sulfate with ketones, dialkyl carbonates and acetates. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 2007, in press.
- (7) Renon, H.; Prausnitz, J. M. Local compositions in thermodynamic excess functions for liquid mixtures. AIChE J. 1968, 14, 135–144.
- (8) Abrams, D. S.; Prausnitz, J. M. Statitical thermodynamics of liquid mixtures: a new expression for the excess energy of partly or completely miscible systems. *AIChE J.* **1975**, *21*, 116–128.
- (9) Segade, L.; Jiménez de Llano, J.; Domínguez-Pérez, M.; Cabeza, O.; Cabanas, M.; Jiménez, E. Density, Surface Tension, and Refractive Index of Octane + 1-Alkanol Mixtures at T = 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2003, 48, 1251–1255.
- (10) Harris, K. R. H.; Woolf, L. A.; Kanakudo, M. Temperature and Pressure Dependence of the Viscosity of the Ionic Liquid 1-Butyl-3methylimidazolium Hexafluorophosphate. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 2005, 50, 1777–1782.

- (11) Troncoso, J.; Cerdeirina, C.; Sanmamed, Y. A.; Romani, L.; Rebelo, L. P. N. Thermodynamic Properties of Imidazolium-Based Ionic Liquids: Densities, Heat Capacities, and Enthalpies of Fusion of [bmim][PF₆] and [bmim][NTf₂]. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2006**, 51, 1856– 1859.
- (12) Letcher, T. M.; Reddy, P. Ternary (liquid + liquid) equilibria for mixtures of 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium (tetrafluoroborate or hexa-fluorophosphate) + benzene + an alkane at T = 298.2 K and P = 0.1 MPa. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2005**, *37*, 415–421.
- (13) Harris, K. R.; Kanakubo, M.; Woolf, L. A. Temperature and Pressure Dependence of the Viscosity of the Ionic Liquids 1-Methyl-3octylimidazolium Hexafluorophosphate and 1-Mehyl-3-octylimidazolium Tetrafluoroborate. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2006, 51, 1161–1167.
- (14) Gu, Z.; Brennecke, J. F. Volume Expansivities and Isothermal Compressibilities of Imidazolium and Pyridinium-Based Ionic Liquids. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2002**, *47*, 339–345.
- (15) Bendova, M.; Wagner, Z. Liquid-Liquid Equilibrium in Binary System [bmim][PF₆] + 1-Butanol. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2006, 51, 2126-2131.
- (16) Huddleston, J. G.; Visser, A. E.; Reichert, W. M.; Willauer, H. D.; Broker, G. A.; Rogers, R. D. Characterization and comparison of hydrophilic and hydrophobic room temperature ionic liquids incorporating the imidazolium cation. *Green Chem.* 2001, *3*, 156–164.
- (17) Sahandzhieva, K.; Tuma, D.; Breyer, S.; Pérez-Salgado Kamps, A.; Maurer, G. Liquid–liquid Equilibrium in Mixtures of the Ionic Liquid 1-*n*-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Hexafluorophosphate and an Alkanol. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2006**, *51*, 1516–1525.
- (18) Marsh, K. N.; Deev, A.; Wu, C. T.; Tram, E.; Klamt, A. Room temperature ionic liquids as replacements for conventional solvents a review. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* **2002**, *19*, 357–362. The results of this paper are drawn from the Master Thesis by C. T. Wu (Thermophysical properties of room temperature ionic liquids and their mixtures. Master Thesis, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, 2003.)
- (19) Wu, C. T.; Marsh, K. N.; Deev, A. V.; Boxall, J. A. Liquid-liquid Equilibria of Room Temperature Ionic Liquids and Butan-1-ol. J. *Chem. Eng. Data* **2003**, 48, 486–491.
- (20) Banerjee, T.; Singhi, M. K.; Sahoo, R. K.; Khanna, A. Volume, surface and UNIQUAC interaction parameters for imidazolium based ionic liquids via Polarizable Continuum Model. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 2005, 234, 64–76.
- (21) Crosthwaite, J. M.; Muddon, M. J.; Aki, S. N. V. K.; Maginn, E. J.; Brennecke, J. F. Liquid Phase Behaviour of Ionic Liquids with Alcohols: Experimental Studies and Modeling. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006, *110*, 9354–9361.
- (22) Lachwa, J.; Morgado, P.; Esperanca, J. M. S. S.; Guedes, H. J. R.; Canongia Lopes, J. N.; Rebelo, L. P. N. Fluid-Phase Behaviour of {1-Hexyl-3-methylimidazolium Bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) Imide, [C₆mim][NTf₂], + C₂-C₈ n-Alcohol} Mixtures: Liquid–Liquid Equilibrium and Excess Volumes. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2006, 51, 2215–2221.

Received for review February 23, 2007. Accepted April 2, 2007. We want to thank the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) for the financial support through Project CTQ 2004–00454.

JE700099W